

CACHE COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
June 10, 2003

The Cache County Council convened in a regular session on June 10, 2003, in the Cache County Council Chamber at 120 North 100 West, Logan, Utah.

ATTENDANCE:

Chairman: H. Craig Petersen
Vice Chairman: Cory Yeates
Council Members: Brian Chambers, Paul Cook, Darrel Gibbons, John A. Hansen and Kathy Robison.
County Executive: M. Lynn Lemon
County Clerk: Jill N. Zollinger

The following individuals were also in attendance: Rebekah Alpisa, R. Barlow, Janet Borg, Attorney George Daines, Newel Daines, Brett Daniels, Bryan Davies, Jay Downs, Kotie Godfrey, Tiffany Goodey, Bob Green, Vicki Jensen, Karen Jeppesen, Sheriff Lynn Nelson, Dave Nielsen, Evelyn Palmer, Pat Parker, Kelly Pitcher, Auditor Tamra Stones, Lorraine Swensen, Rhonda Thompson, Ben P. Toone, Media: Joe Rowley (Herald Journal) and Jennie Christensen, Eric Frandsen (KNVU).

CALL TO ORDER:

Chairman Petersen called the meeting to order at 5:02 p.m.

INVOCATION:

The invocation was given by Council member Cook.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chairman Petersen lead those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Changes to the agenda:

1. **Item 11-e:** Resolution No. 2003-18 (the EMS Coordinator and funding) was added to the agenda under "Initial Proposal for Consideration of Action" at the request of Chairman Petersen.
2. **Item 8-a:** An additional Intra-Department transfer was added at the request of Auditor Tamra Stones.

The agenda was approved as amended.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Minutes of the Council meeting for May 27, 2003 were reviewed, corrected and approved as amended.

**Council Meeting
06-10-03**

REPORT OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE: M. LYNN LEMON

<u>APPOINTMENTS:</u>	Samuel Reed Hanks	Deputy County Sheriff
	Marcus Anthony Flansburg	Deputy County Sheriff
	Robert Grant Bergsjo	Deputy County Sheriff
	Shannon Dale Van Dam	Deputy County Sheriff
	Jared Danny Fitzgerald	Deputy County Sheriff
	Holly Lyn Dixon	Deputy County Sheriff

ACTION: Motion by Vice Chairman Yeates to approve the recommended appointments. Cook seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

WARRANTS: The warrants for the periods of 05-23-2003 to 05-29-2003 were given to the Clerk for filing.

OTHER ITEMS:

- **Restaurant Tax Recommendations:** Executive Lemon had given his recommendations to the TRCC Committee. The TRCC Committee will meet in the next week and their recommendations should be forthcoming.
- **The Cache Mayor's Association Meeting:** The Cache Mayor's Association will meet on June 14th at 7:00 p.m. in the Stevenson Ballroom at Utah State University. Governor Leavitt will be in attendance.
- **Scheduling and plans for moving into the new administration building.** The final walk through of the new administration building would be tomorrow at 4:00 p.m. There were still a few things that needed to be finished; however the plan was to move in Thursday and Friday, June 12th and 13th, the weekend as needed and Monday morning, June 17th. Offices would open for business by noon on Monday.
- **Council/Court building.** Bids on removing the asbestos were due Wednesday, June 11th. Then DEQ would be notified and demolition of the Council/Courts building would begin June 26th removing the asbestos from the building. Projection for completion was July 4th and the demolition on the Council/Court building would then begin on July 15th.
- **Parking Lot.** It was planned to have the road department asphalt a temporary parking lot on the blue print of the building and then finish the East parking. The plan was to make the East parking lot concrete rather than asphalt because it would require less slope and because there would be less maintenance.
- **Furniture and Fixtures from previous department locations.** All were to be declared surplus and would be sold. A list of surplus items would be sent to all municipalities. Bids would be accepted on July 8th for the balance of surplus property; this would be advertized in the newspaper.

**Council Meeting
06-10-03**

ACTION: Motion by Council member Gibbons to approve the recommended moving schedule and demolition plans. Cook seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

- **Millville Canyon Closure.** Because of articles in the newspaper, Executive Lemon was under the impression that the Canyon had been closed by the State Division of Wild Life Resources. This was actually not the case according to Sheriff Nelson. Yeates affirmed that the newspaper article was misleading because an employee of the Forest Service had been quoted as saying: "A landowner has the right to close his property if there was a road or trail through his property leading to public lands." Executive Lemon noted that this was one of the issues being looked at with the RS2477 roads.

It was the opinion of the Council that the before any kind of action would be taken, the facts were to be investigated and an invitation extended to the Division of Wild Life Resources to come to a future Council meeting to discuss this matter.

EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH: VICKI JENSEN

Jim Smith introduced Vicki Jo Jensen from the Treasurer's Office who was selected by her peers as "Employee of the Month" for the month of June.

JIM SMITH: For the past 22 years, Vicki's friendly smile has graced the halls of the historic Cache County courthouse. In 1981 she joined the County team and began working in the Clerk's Office. She decided she liked handling money more than election results; so, she transferred to the Treasurer's Office. Vicki currently assists with the collection and distribution of property taxes; she helps citizens with questions or problems concerning taxes; she handles tax abatements. In her spare time she has been known to even help with the County Fair.

As residents of Cove, Vicki and her husband, Neil, have two sons, three grandchildren and is anxiously awaiting the arrival of her fourth grandchild. She enjoys watching and playing sports especially softball and volleyball.

In nominating Vicki for this honor, one of her peers wrote: "Vicki is one of the most public service-oriented employees in the County. She is helpful to every customer that comes into the Treasurer's Office. She goes to the homes of the elderly after work to help them fill out tax-assistance forms. She helps other offices with their work when they are overloaded. She plays Sherlock Holmes and searches out delinquent tax payers to help them avoid losing their property. She cares about the citizens of Cache County and also her co-workers in the Courthouse."

County Executive, Lynn Lemon, and County Treasurer, Karen Jeppesen, presented Vicki with her award and gifts.

CHILDREN'S JUSTICE CENTER ANNUAL REPORT: REBEKAH ALPISA, DIRECTOR
(Located at 1362 N. 400 W., Logan, UT)

(See Attachment No. 1)

**Council Meeting
06-10-03**

REBEKAH ALPISA: “The Cache County Children’s Justice Center is thriving. We have seen several exciting developments during the past year including staff changes, service improvements and increasing demand for our services.”

Ms. Alpisa revealed that total clients served this year were 158 children. They also provided warm, personal support, crisis intervention, information and assistance this year to 702 parents, guardians and other family members. Hosting multi disciplinary staff meetings has become a highly effective tool in facilitating the investigative process of these cases. Also, the center was in its final stages of preparation for a new medical forensic exam room and their first exam was to be this Friday.

The management of the County’s Children’s Justice Center had been put under the direction of the Cache County Attorney’s office of Victim Services. Ms. Alpisa expressed thanks for the Councils support of this vital work.

INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL TRANSFERS:

(See Attachment No. 2)

- **The Jail Department** requested a transfer of \$4,170.00 from Equipment to Non Capitalized Equipment to buy equipment that was under the Capitalization amount.
- **Under the “Capital Projects fund**, there was a transfer request of \$22,710.00 from the “Building Construction” account to the Building “Equipment” line item to purchase high density storage for Recorder’s Vault and to cover current expenditures.
- **The Children’s Justice Center** requested a transfer of funds from the “Salaries” fund to the “Travel and Conference” fund of \$5,000 to send additional people to training because the fund 29 amendment in Resolution 2003-17 was not approved by Attorney General’s office.

ACTION: Motion by Council member Cook to approve all three intra departmental transfers. Robison seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

THE COUNCIL MOVED INTO BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

BOYS SCOUTS OF AMERICA’S TAX EXEMPT REQUEST:

(See Attachment No. 3)

A tax exempt request was received from Trapper Trails Council, Boy Scouts of America. This was a continuation of their Tax Exemption Affidavit - 2003 Amendment to Personal Property list. Auditor Stones clarified that they left the equipment they use at Camp Hunt off from their application. Their equipment was kept here in the County and they register it here.

**Council Meeting
06-10-03**

ACTION: Motion by Vice Chairman Yeates for approval of the tax exemption request. Hansen seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

THE COUNCIL ADJOURNED FROM THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING UPDATE:

Closure of County Offices by Executive order. Airport Authority, Assessor, Auditor, Building Inspection, Buildings and Grounds, Clerk, County Council, Data Processing, Extension Office, Executive Countywide Planning, Recorder, Surveyor, Treasurer, Water Policy, and Zoning

Thursday,	June 12, 2003 (12:00 noon - 5:00 p.m.)
Friday,	June 13, 2003 (8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.)
Monday,	June 16, 2003 (8:00 a.m. 12:00 noon)

Ribbon Cutting Ceremony and Open House. The Ribbon Cutting Ceremony and Open House will be held June 24, 2003 from 3:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. Pat Parker gave an overview of the preparations. She had ordered 225 invitations to be mailed to different businesses and individuals throughout the County. The Ribbon-Cutting ceremony will be hosted by the Chamber of Commerce. There will be a short program and refreshments served after the ceremony. Also, an invitation to the public will be run in the newspaper on the Sunday, Monday and Tuesday before the Open House.

Chairman Petersen noted that this was the last Council meeting to be held in the Council/Courts building. The next meeting will be held in the new administration building on the main floor in the room on the North-West Corner. This will continue temporarily until the Historic Courthouse is renovated.

COUNTY JAIL UPDATE:

Sheriff Nelson submitted his Complex Progress report in writing.

(See Attachment No. 4)

There were no major issues according to Sheriff Nelson; however **Kathy Robison** still had some concerns about where the sewer ties in and about what is happening on the roadway. Attorney Daines had been working on the roadway issue. Those two items were said to be the last issues to be handled.

The jail pod was still on schedule and should be completed in mid September. December 1st is the target for total completion. **Sheriff Nelson** commented that it had only been a year and a few weeks since the project manager was hired. The building has been designed and was half way completed. The steel for the administration building would be started in the next week and

**Council Meeting
06-10-03**

that building will start to take shape in the next month.

STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR CACHE COUNTY GOVERNMENT UPDATE:

Chairman Petersen submitted his county planning letter to the Elected Officials and Department Heads. Meetings were held to explain the plan.

The County Council has approved a planning effort for county government units that will focus on how we can improve our operations over the next two years. It will require each unit to develop a written plan that will serve as a "roadmap" which describes the unit's aspirations for change and improvement.

When completed, the plans will:

- 1. Be used as the basis for budget decisions this fall.**
- 2. Identify new functions the unit could undertake or improved ways of performing existing functions.**
- 3. Identify activities that could be eliminated or reduced.**

In this letter he outlined the planning process by which core values and day-to-day operations could be achieved. Each department would submit their plan and strategies and identify activities that could be eliminated or reduced by the 31st of July. There would be combined effort with the Elected officials and the Department Heads and Council to make some revisions and to compile the various department plans into a new county plan which was to be completed by September 30. The County plan would be used to develop the County Budget, which must be done by 31st of October. This plan was to be reviewed yearly.

RAPZ TAX: DISCUSSION

In terms of the legal requirements of whom the Council could distribute money to were 1) Parks and Recreation, 2) Cultural and Botanical and 3) Zoological facilities.

The question at hand was whether or not the money could be used for operating expenses or capital facilities. The answer was that it could be used for either except for Cultural and Botanical where capital facilities could not be supported.

Last Fall the Council passed an initial allocation proposal of 45% to Parks and Recreation, 45% to Cultural and Botanical and 10% Zoological. A proposal had been received from the RAPZ Coalition that suggested allocation of the money.

In terms of advisory committee, for Cultural and Botanical, they wanted to structure a 5-person committee. In terms of allocation criteria, Parks and Recreation money would have gone to the cities and towns in proportion to their populations. Zoological would have gone to Willow park Zoo. For Cultural and Botanical, organizations that legally qualify would be identified and the money would have been distributed in proportion to their qualifying expenditures roughly in proportion to their budgets.

Council Meeting 06-10-03

The last version of the proposal from the RAPZ Coalition was that a certain amount of money would be held back by County government for special allocations.

Executive Petersen presented issues and concerns for discussion to the Council. He would like to make sure when the \$1,000,000.00 was totally allocated that there was some way that could be demonstrated that the citizens of Cache Valley were better off than before the money was given out.

(See Attachment No. 5)

Discussion:

Council member Chambers: In this first year, are we talking about three-quarters of a million-dollar revenue?

Chairman Petersen: Yes. This is kind of a steady State; it is a million dollars in a full year.

Attorney Daines: In regards to Number three on page one it has a question about the financial uncertainty for the organization receiving operating funds. The statute specifically says that you can enter into an inter-local agreement with cities and towns. That would allow you, for example: On the recreational element of this, if you were to decide that 45% were going to cities and towns, to enter into an inter-local agreement with the cities, saying this money will be available for "X" years, so they can rely on that money being there each year. It would be my opinion that with that inter-local agreement, you have bound yourself to that format with the cities and towns for those periods of time set forth in the agreement. Essentially a city could get that funding. I would just encourage you that if you intend to make distribution to the cities it is so much assistance to the city to tell them what you are going to do. It doesn't hurt to say we are going to do this much and then give them more.

Petersen: What about for cultural and botanical organizations.

Daines: You can make a commitment that this is what we are going to do but I think you are subject to the issue where you don't have a legal agreement. I would encourage you that the way in which you distribute the money to the extent that you can to forecast into the future what you are going to do with it so the organization has some predictive capacity. Because it is a voluntary thing where you are appropriating to choose, I don't think you can bind future Councils. You can only say this is what we intend to do and then they would have to judge whether they will keep the commitment that you've made.

Executive Lemon: We did the PCIB bond and I think the language was a moral commitment to do that but there was an understanding on the part of the funding agency that if another Council chose not to that they could do that. We basically said we will fund that bond for 20 years.

Petersen: So, another Council could change it but in essence we have pledged our credibility

Lemon: We did the same thing with the Ice Arena bond. We basically said that we will fund that for a 15-year period of time. We did that with the American West Heritage Center.

Newell Daines (Recognized by Chairman Petersen): You have a 10-year history as a County Council of performing on the bond with the PCIB and we certainly commend you for that.

Council member Robison: Craig, on the Restaurant Tax, would you give me a quick rundown on how those funds are allocated.

Petersen: People make application. Those applications are due by the 1st of April. Lynn (Lemon) looks at those and makes his recommendations and then that comes to the committee; the committee looks at Lynn's recommendation and then provides a recommendation to the Council, which we finally pass. The Council ultimately makes the decision. Some of the criteria we have used: We have looked a little about the merit of the proposal; we have also looked about how much funding the entity has been given in the past. We have some rules and regulations but it is a little ad hoc from year to year depending on what comes in.

Lemon: If the entity is contributing 60% or 75%, to me that is a more favorable thing. We have said it is nice if we can contribute \$10,000.00 and they contribute \$20,000.00 and they build a \$30,000.00 facility or they contribute in kind.

Council Gibbons: The Restaurant tax funds only capital projects.

Lemon: Yes.

Robison: People aren't complaining and they seem to think this is a fair way to equitably distribute all these monies?

Lemon: There is disagreement.

Petersen: I have a number of people come up to me and say: "We think that in some cases the Restaurant Tax has been used to fund pork barrel projects that don't have great merit; we hope you won't do that with the RAPZ tax."

Council Meeting 06-10-03

That is not to say that is true but at least there are some people that have that perception. So if we put this in context, with the RAPZ tax then in the steady state, we are talking about \$1,000,000.00. With the Restaurant tax we are talking about \$630,000.00 this year.

Lemon: We have funded some small city projects that they may not see as advantages to travel or tourism or whatever; however, the latest survey told us that 70% of our restaurant tax was generated by people that lived in the county.

Chairman Petersen asked for comments from the public:

Public Comments - Loraine Swensen: I just have a little concern that I would like to express. I feel like the ground swell for having this RAPZ tax is that it is difficult to fund recreational and cultural projects. Particularly ones that benefit the entire community because they are such an expense that it is really difficult to rally that kind of support to put together something like the Ellen Eccles theater or the Festival of the American West or the Ice Arena without the support of everyone. They benefit every community not just one. I feel like people passed the RAPZ tax because they wanted to have these things that enhance all of our lives that make our quality of life better. I feel like it is really important that, that is where these funds go that they support things that will enhance the quality of life for everyone generally within the community. My concern is that if you give it to the cities on a proportional basis, you are cutting out any large general projects or you are limiting them from having that funding. I'm concerned that people will say: "Well, now we have money for recreational projects; so, we can use that money to put in our sewer system or to fund something else in a small city." "Now we have the money for recreation, and we don't even have to have a recreational budget; it will run our Rec. Center in Smithfield." We won't get anything for it (the tax money). That is what the people wanted. They wanted something more than what their taxes were funding at the time. If that happens, all I see is that the people getting their taxes raised to enhance their general city's budget without giving them what they really wanted, an opportunity to have better recreational and cultural opportunities in Cache Valley. That's the purpose is of the RAPZ tax. I'm worried that this method will defeat the general purpose of the tax.

Tamra Stones: I agree with Laraine, I think if you do want to give funding to the cities, I think you ought to require a minimum effort from the cities. In the proposal for the new election equipment, the State is requiring the County to maintain our expenditure level so they will fund the new equipment. I think that is a fair exchange. If you have some level that they have to fund and then this is an enhancement rather than just a replacement.

Rhonda Thompson: I think this is always going to be a really tough decision for the Council; there are so many ways that you could allocate this fund. Those were some of the issues that the Coalition looked at. The tax was supposed to be to fund new facilities for recreation. As you look at whether it can be used for operations, I think the item was can it be used for existing or can it be used for operating the new things that are being constructed. Those are some of the things you need to look at. Whatever you fund, cultural or recreation, there always need to be accountability. I think it needs to be annual accountability. Even if you are saying to the cities, this is our intention. Part of the way it is set up is to have an administrator that would be employed out of that portion that comes to the county. That it is part of that person's administrative job is to review annually the progress of what is happening. If those organizations or cities are not doing what they are supposed to be doing, they should not be receiving the funding. There has to be an accountability process that has to be gone through and reports that are made so that the money is spent wisely.

Tamra Stones: What Rhonda says is true but It puts it almost on a grant type of allocation. You would have to have a contractual agreement of some sort with all of the participants and spell out: "This is what you have to do." "This is what we expect in a report." "You will get your money based on this performance." The more that is written down, the safer it is.

Lemon: I did have a former member of the Council say that he felt we ought not to fund it based on population but that we ought to do it based on the project.

Council Meeting 06-10-03

Ben Toone: We talked about this in Weber County one time and if you could pinpoint it down to a user of generation of tax and put it back into a user use versus population.

Petersen: You try and identify who the tax payer is and turn it back in proportion?

Rhonda Thompson: The patron who is using the facility?

Council member Gibbons: Your second question with respect to coordinating allocation with the Restaurant Tax, my initial feeling is we ought to separate them. They ought not to be necessarily related. The functions of the two taxes would be different.

Petersen: We ought to look at the restaurant tax again and refine what we really intend to use it for.

Council member Cook: If we are doing it simply by population, what happens to the people I represent in College-Young Ward? Providence, River Heights and Millville will get money toward their recreation but College-Young doesn't have anything formally established; so, they are going to be completely left out. In your area, Darrel, you'll have the same problem out there.

Gibbons: A couple mayors approached me. The Mayor of Amalga shared some of these concerns. I think you have to be careful in just giving them the funds. I think the concerns that have been expressed by those who have commented tonight are accurate with respect to just allocating the funds to each municipality based on population.

Public Comment - Brett Daniels (Recreation Director for Smithfield City): Originally the proposal that the RAPZ Coalition put together was to have an advisory committee set forth. Everybody presented their proposals; they were to be reviewed; and then they are recommended to the County Council and the Council ultimately makes the decision. In basing this on population was just to try to simplify it, just to take all of the political action out of it and make it so that the cities would have something to depend on. When the Coalition was talking about this, I questioned it a little bit to begin with; and I did go talk to the mayors of some of the cities that we work within North Logan, Hyde Park and Smithfield. They are very favorable of basing it on population simply because it (would be) something that they could expect. They all have said: "We are not so sure we are supportive in going toward operational expenses." Smithfield has said: "We're not going to use that to help offset our expenses of our recreational center." They are going to use it to build more facilities. I think that is important to do because in 10 years from now, we are going to want this to come up for election again. We are going to want it to pass. I don't think it is going to pass if the cities are taking it and using it to run a recreation center. If it does go toward projects, I think it will be something that the citizens will begin to appreciate.

Attorney George Daines: One of the components of the suggestion was that any of these funds that are used for any project or for any operational expenses that with the use of County funds comes County access. If you were to do it this way, Smithfield City may choose to do something with it but anything they do with it is open to any person within the County irrespective of whether they are citizens of Smithfield or not. So, that puts a little bit of a damper on, for example: Logan saying: "We'll just put it in our Logan Recreational Center." If they do, they will have to open that up so that everyone in the County is treated equally. Now that, has got to be defined more closely but that does (take care of) your concern, Paul, where we are creating a situation where no one in the County gets it. That means that if the funds are used to build a ballpark in Millville, they cannot charge a different rate for Millville citizens than College-Young Ward citizens. That is a kind of tie put on. You could consider the tie-in these funds. We need to know where the funds are going. It needs to be reported back so that we know what facilities and units are being supported. If they don't meet the criteria in the statute, those funds are not properly being disposed of. There has to be the accounting that Tamra is talking about and Rhonda is talking about because we have a responsibility to make sure those funds are used in accordance with the statute.

Lemon: If you distribute based on population and if you have an entity like the Bridgerland Ice Arena which is owned by the County, Logan City and Hyde Park, would that entity have to go to each of those entities to try to get this funding? They basically are saying: "Are we just left out of the process?"

Petersen: The Ice Arena and the Fairgrounds are really two good examples. Would there be special things that we might be able to allocate money for?

Council Meeting 06-10-03

Daines: I think the proposal for the cities and the county was that the county would look at its county recreational facilities and take something off from the top draw before the division was made.

Petersen: The proposal was only \$25,000.00 in that area but I wish we could do more.

Daines: What level you chose.

Rhonda Thompson: I think he is talking about the County being considered as an organization like a City.

Daines: (For) any county recreational facility, you could choose to fund that as part of it before you made the split.

The actual time table for distribution of the RAPZ Tax, as stated by Executive Lemon, was April of next year. Executive Petersen set September or October as a reasonable time to finalize the decision on the distribution of these funds. This would allow time for committee meetings and reviews and then people would have enough time to respond to the rules.

Public Comment - Bob Green (Executive Director of the Boys and Girls Club): My concern mainly is just information. We want to make sure that all organizations, aside from three or four of the big ones, get the same information of who is eligible and who can apply for this money. Some of us fringe organizations aren't sure if we are eligible and what we can do. I'm not questioning what is right or wrong; I'm just saying, let's get the information out.

A workshop for the RAPZ Tax was set for July 8, 2003, at 4:00 p.m.

COUNTY LOGO: DISCUSSION

The following ideas and suggestions were brought out during the discussion:

- ◆ This logo was to represent the identity of Cache County.
- ◆ It would be reproduced on business cards, county letterheads, vehicles, website etc.
- ◆ The Courthouse and agriculture were not necessarily the only images of Cache County.
- ◆ It would be hard to reproduce a hodgepodge of scenes depicting the County.

No Action was taken.

CACHE COUNTY COMMUNITY FOUNDATION: DECLARE PROJECT FOR EMS FUNDS

Because of a donation for an EMS Coordinator from the Specialty Hospital, it was necessary to declare a project fund to receive funds for the EMS Coordinator.

ACTION: Motion by Council member Chambers to set up the fund. The motion was properly seconded. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

AMBULANCE COMMITTEE UPDATE:

Council member Chambers reported that there was a meeting with three representatives from the Logan City Council (Karen Borg, Tami Pyfer and Steven Thompson) and three County

**Council Meeting
06-10-03**

Council members. The meeting was pleasant, optimistic, congenial and constructive.

COUNTY WEB SITE UPDATE:

Council member Paul Cook will now take what information he had gathered and get the site going and functional. As of now if someone logged on to "**cachecounty.org**", they would just see a preliminary page of what the County is trying to achieve.

RESOLUTION NO. 2003-18: AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN INTER-LOCAL AGREEMENT WITH SMITHFIELD CITY FOR THE CREATION OF AN EMS COORDINATOR POSITION AND FUNDING FOR SAID POSITION

(See Attachment No. 6)

ACTION: Motion by Council member Gibbons to suspend the rules and approve Resolution No. 2003-18. Chambers seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS:

Darrel Gibbons asked if any progress had been made on the gate on the Cronquist road. Signs had been ordered and the letters were to be mailed by the Attorney's office. He also asked if there had been any response on the railroad crossing at 12600 N. 400 W. There had been no response and Executive Lemon would follow up on that.

Brian Chambers 1) announced that there would not be a Fire board meeting on June 24 due to the Administration Building Open House. **2)** On the Benson situation concerning two investigations of businesses: "**Maughan's Corner**" did obtain a business license. **2)** The Sheriff's Office had completed their investigation of **Gary Kay** and it had been turned over to the Attorney's Office. **3)** Vern Krebs had called Council member Chambers concerning the extension of the Airport runway. **Executive Lemon** affirmed that an agreement had been made when the County closed "3700 North" to build a road on the East side of the runway and that was in the process of being built. That agreement was that Hyde Park would obtain the right-of-way and the County would build a road. The road had been started; however, Hyde Park does not have all of the right-of-way for it. He clarified that there was never an agreement; there was a discussion to do the road on the West side. The FAA did a traffic study and based on the traffic study they would not help pay for a road on the West side.

John Hansen had also received comments from Millville City on the proposed road closure.

ADJOURNMENT:

The Council Meeting adjourned at 7:00 p.m.

Council Meeting
06-10-03

ATTEST: Jill N. Zollinger
County Clerk

APPROVAL: H. Craig Petersen
Council Chairman