

CACHE COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING

April 8, 2003

The Cache County Council convened in a regular session on April 8, 2003, in the Cache County Council Chamber at 120 North 100 West, Logan, Utah.

ATTENDANCE:

Chairman: H. Craig Petersen
Vice Chairman: Cory Yeates
Council Members: Brian Chambers, Paul Cook, Darrel Gibbons, John Hansen, and
Kathy Robison.
County Executive: M. Lynn Lemon
County Clerk: Jill N. Zollinger

The following individuals were also in attendance: Rob Cruz, Attorney George Daines, Lorene Greenhalgh, Gregory Johnson, Denise Johnson, Sheriff Lynn Nelson, Evelyn Palmer, Pat Parker, Kelly Pitcher, Jim Smith, Auditor Tamra Stones, Mark Teuscher, Preston Ward.
Media: Jeremiah Stettler (Herald Journal).

CALL TO ORDER:

Chairman Petersen called the meeting to order at 5:02 p.m.

INVOCATION:

The invocation was given by Chairman H. Craig Petersen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chairman Petersen lead those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Changes to the Agenda.

1. Item 9-c "Set Public Hearing" the date should read April 22.
2. Item 9-e "Board of Equalization" there was an additional request to be considered - Harrison and Patsy Maughan.

The agenda was approved as amended.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Minutes of the Council meetings for March 25, 2003 were reviewed, corrected and approved as amended.

REPORT OF COUNTY EXECUTIVE: M. LYNN LEMON

APPOINTMENT: There were no appointments recommended.

WARRANTS: The warrants for the periods of 12-02-2002 to 12-02-2002; 03-21-2003 to 03-27-2003; and 03-28-2003 to 04-03-2003 were given to the Clerk for filing.

OTHER ITEMS:

1. **Annual meeting with UDOT.**
The annual meeting with UDOT was rescheduled for May 14, 2003 at 1:00 p.m. in the Nibley City Offices. They will talk about what is on their schedule for this year and for next year.
2. **American Weather Consultants Report.**
Executive Lemon noted that the effects of cloud seeding decreases once the weather gets warm, therefore, the contract with the North American Weather Consultants was not extended. The County had budgeted for 2400 hours and had only used 1594 hours; so, there were actually 806 hours remaining of variable costs.
3. **Revised Forest Plan.**
Executive Lemon told the Council that he now has the revised Forest Plan on compact disk and hard copy.
4. **Restaurant Tax Applications.**
Executive Lemon reviewed with the Council the Restaurant Tax applications submitted this year which totaled \$1,284,376.00. The amount requested was up about \$300,000.00 from last year. Recommendations to the Restaurant Tax Allocation Committee will be made by mid May and then the Committee should have their recommendations to the Council by mid June. Nibley, Richmond and Lewiston were included even though they did not submit their applications on time. They had multi-year contracts and they were of the assumption that the County would continue to fund them under a multi-year period.
5. **T.V. Translator.**
Council member Yeates expressed concern about an alternative plan for the T.V. translator site. After some discussion between Council members it was decided to form a subcommittee consisting of Council members' Yeates, Cook and Robison to study the issue.

EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH: GREG JOHNSON, Sheriff's Department

JIM SMITH: Greg Johnson has been selected by his peers as the Employee of the Month for April 2003. It was back in the Spring of 1996 when Greg first pinned a sheriff's badge on his chest. His first assignment for the County Sheriff was to police the roadways and the highways throughout the County as a Patrol Deputy. Over time Greg was assigned to work in the DAR program and currently he functions as the school Resource Officer at Sky View High school. Not one to be caught flat footed, Greg has also certified as a drug-recognition expert as-well-as a Field Training Officer in the Sheriff's Office.

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

A native of Sacramento California, he is a big fan of the "NBA Sacramento Kings." After high school, Greg served a two-year mission for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints in the Canada/Winnipeg mission. Upon returning home he attended Ricks College where he earned an Associates Degree. Later, he earned a Bachelor's Degree in Family and Human Development from Utah State University. After college, he entered the "Peace Officer's Standard and Training" post program, offered through the Bridgerland Applied Technology College where he successfully received his post certification.

Greg has been married to his sweetheart, Denise, for 11 years and they are the proud parents of three boys and one little daughter. In his spare time, Greg can be found with his boys tossing a baseball, shooting hoops or roughing things up in a mean game of street hockey. Greg has recently taken up the sport of Ice Hockey and is skating in one of the local adult leagues.

One of your peers wrote of you: "Greg is an excellent worker." He keeps the children under control. He also helps out with the Sky View administration whenever they ask. He is a good example to the kids and he gives the sheriff's Office a good name with the public."

Executive Lynn Lemon and Sheriff Lynn Nelson presented the award and certificate along with their congratulations.

Greg Johnson commented that it was nice to be recognized by his peers and loved working for the Sheriff's Office. He felt Cache County was a great place to raise a family.

SHOSHONE ATV RECREATION TRAIL SYSTEM: ROB CRUZ

ROB CRUZ: I am here to talk about the Shoshone ATV Trail. We came about 14 months ago with the State folks and members of Representative Hansen's Office to talk about the proposal that Rep. Hansen had at the time. In the meantime, that proposal was defeated in Congress. It had not been brought up again; however we have agreed with the State of Utah that it is important to provide some opportunity to the ATV enthusiasts here in northern Utah with something similar to what they have on the Pine Trail in Richfield, Utah.

Fifteen months ago we got together and came up with some grand ideas of where we would have the trails. Since that time, we have refined a lot of the proposals. At this time we are not looking to opening anything new because it would cause us to have to do a lot of needs-for analysis and it could take a lot of years to get through the process. What we decided to do is look at what we currently have open and (where) people can ride. All we would have to do is to put a name on it. We would put in some additional trail-heads. On the system we have identified them as Green - the easiest trail to ride, Blue - intermediate, and Black - most difficult. It is my understanding with working with Fred Haze, (who is) with the State Motorized group, and Bill Thompson that this last Legislature put aside about \$500,000.00 to try and get this program off the ground.

At the same time when we came 15 months ago, we presented this to each of the counties and some of the cities along the route to see if they wanted to be involved in this because the idea, like with the Pine trail down in Central Utah, is to connect the trail system with the community so that people could ride into the community, grab whatever supplies they need and then ride back up onto the trail system and maybe spend a night camping and then moving on. What people don't like to have on these trail systems is a road where they go down the road and it is a dead end and (where they) have to turn around and come back. When we get situations like that, they get tired of riding the same thing; so, they all of a sudden see a nice place to go and they cut off and then make a new road in the forest. What they like to do is have a

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

lot of loop trails. On the map we have some additional trails that are marked in ink-dash lines. A lot of those are on County lines of Cache County and Box Elder County. We also have some (trails) on the forest that for one reason or another they are not currently good ATV routes, for example: Providence canyon. I rode an ATV last year up just above the Quarry and thought I was going to kill myself because there are some huge rocks in there. You get to a point, unless you are really good where you have to stop and get off the machine and turn it around by hand and get it facing down the hills, before you tipped yourself over. There are problems like that we would have to correct to add those to the trail system. However, those are open on our travel plan, which means it will be ridden by ATV or 4-wheel drive (vehicles).

Rob Cruz: I have an MOU that I will give to Executive Lemon. It includes Rich, Box Elder and Cache Counties if you want to be involved in this. It says: "We are agreeing that we want to work toward having some trail system here." It may mean that the Council will look at the area down in the Avon-Paradise area. There is no connection route but the idea is to get a route all the way from Brigham City across Forest land through this valley and then up on the forest again and eventually ending up over in Rich County,

Rob Cruz: Two things I would like address:

1) Scoping Letter . The Logan Peak road reconstruction project from the White bedground up to the top of the communications site on Logan Peak. We can't maintain that road any longer from that point, the White bedground, because there is a cable exposed. If we put a tractor or a blade on that, the operator may get the shock of his life. Because of that and because some of those sections of road are really steep, we are looking at doing some realignment and some road work on two miles of that road from that point on. They won't continue working on that road until we get it all up to standard. Approximately a mile of that road will need to be relocated out of the existing road alignment because we can't do anything with that cable other than just go in there and bury it and re vegetate there and then get the road back to a better grade that we can maintain. Only the two sections will be relocated. Everything else will remain the same.

Mr. Cruz requested a letter from the Council on support of the project to realign two sections of the road leading to Logan Peak.

2) Providence Canyon Gravel Pit. We acquired the pit back in 2000 with the Snow Basin land exchange. We have a real need for gravel to bring our roads in the forest back up to standards. We are looking at opening the Providence gravel pit for two to three weeks per year to pull some gravel out of there. We would be hauling around 80 trucks per year during a three-week period out of that canyon.

Mr. Cruz also requested comments from Council regarding the opening of the Providence Canyon gravel pit.

PUBLIC HEARING SET: CERTIFIED TAX RATE HEARING

Auditor Stones stated that this hearing will be held to establish the increased tax rate for 2003. It was proposed to set this public hearing for **August 12, 2003 at 6:00 p.m.**

PUBLIC HEARING SET: 2004 BUDGET/CERTIFIED TAX RATE

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

This budget hearing is for a 2004 budget certified tax rate. Ms. Stones noted that if there were an intent to increase the tax-rate for the next year, it was required to have two hearings at the County level. It was proposed to set this public hearing for **November 25, 2003 at 6:00 p.m.**

PUBLIC HEARING SET: AGRICULTURAL PROTECTION AREA - DEL RAY CAMPBELL TRUST, STEVEN A. RUSSELL, AND H. J. FUHRIMAN LLC.

It was proposed to set this hearing for **April 22, 2003 - 6:00 p.m.**

ACTION: Motion by Council member Gibbons to set all three of the above public hearings. Vice Chairman Yeates seconded the motion. The vote was 7-0.

PUBLIC HEARING: DECLARE REAL PROPERTY SURPLUS - 100 West 100 North (Corner), Logan, Utah.

Chairman Petersen opened the public hearing to declare the property located at 100 West 100 North in Logan surplus. Chairman Petersen requested comments from the public.

Public Comment:

Tamra Stones: I think the County should retain ownership and maybe lease the property because I think there will be a time when the County will want to expand. Keeping ownership of the property is one opportunity for us to expand. I don't think we should surrender ownership.

ACTION: Motion by Council member Gibbons moved to close the public hearing. Yeates seconded the motion. The vote was 7-0.

County Attorney George Daines was absent during the public hearing, Chairman Petersen requested comment from him in regard to the declaration of surplus property for the County.

Requested Comment:

Attorney George Daines: The advantage of leasing would be that we would retain the ownership of that corner. The disadvantage is that you don't get any money. As far a lease payment, there are really two ways that you could lease it. You could do a "Land Lease" where you lease the land to someone or you could build the building and lease it. If you build a building and lease it to someone, you really can't do that with your tax exempt status. It becomes proprietary, etc. I would think if you are going to retain a corner for that purpose, you would probably want to retain the "north-west" rather than the "south-west" corner. I am not sure there would be too many people that would go with a land lease. If you are talking about us building the building and then leasing it, then essentially we would have the burden of financing it and then trying to line up tenants. The advantage of it would be if we ever needed additional space, we would have that space to come back on. I would think that the north-west corner would probably be more one that you would think about. We have discussed with the State in particularly whether (or not) a lease on that property might make some sense in which we would build a building and lease it to the State.

Discussion:

Chairman Petersen: In terms of sequence of events, if we had a public hearing on declaring property surplus, in the next meeting we would actually have a resolution to surplus it. That is why I wanted to stop and make sure what direction the Council wanted to go.

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

Council member Chambers: Tamra, in line with George just said, does that change your opinion on that?

Tamra Stones: I am just concerned about what type of business you would sell it to and the impact on the parking or whatever and potential growth. If you retain the other corner and it doesn't negatively impact the County operations, I don't see a problem with it.

Petersen: The intent was that if we put the property up for bid, we would establish some design standards. George, Lynn and I would be the design committee so we would have the ability to look at whatever was built there.

Council member Yeates: Also, I would think we are in the middle of Logan's downtown historic area too; so, the historic preservation committee would want to have a look at it if it were bid out to a private individual.

Daines: There is no historic building there now. I don't think the historical committee gets involved much unless there is a structure there. They don't have any particular design requirements in the District that make you build it in a certain way. What we are trying to do though, Cory, is put a design committee in place so that the building will look appropriate in downtown Logan. We have told the merchants and as many people as are interested and of course there is still to be some public advertising. It could be either retail or office space or whatever. For the size of the space, for the parking that's involved, it seems like there is plenty of parking for the building and for the uses that are normally associated with it but we are going to retain parking ownership: so, it would not be divided in the process.

Petersen: In fact, we designed an overall parking plan for the block. Either we would complete that section or else they would have to complete it with conformity of the overall plan for the parking.

Daines: It goes into the design that we showed you the other day. If you were hopeful that the property will sell for \$200,000.00-\$350,000.00 you will probably before that time put a minimum price on it if it doesn't sell. That should be some serious money to offset some of our costs that we have incurred in the development of the block. I think we have already planned on it from the budgetary standpoint that we would have those revenues to offset some of costs.

The Council was supportive of moving forward to surplus the property.

THE COUNCIL MOVED INTO THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

TAX EXTENSION REQUEST: Ian Chase-Dunn

A letter had been received requesting the support of the Council for a delay in payment in full for property taxes currently in arrears for the property located at 1510 N 200 W, Logan, UT. The landlord, Mr. Ian Chase-Dunn, was willing to pay \$7,500.00 now and pay the balance by July 1st, 2003. The balance after payment would be \$8,737.42. Penalty and interest were not to be waived.

MOTION: Motion by Council member Chambers to approve the Ian Chase-Dunn extension request. The motion was properly seconded. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

TAX EXTENSION REQUEST: Harrison and Patsy Maughan

Harrison Maughan was willing to pay what he owed but asked for an extension. He would be able to pay \$200.00 by April 18, 2003. \$200.00 by May 18, 2003 and \$248.00 by June 18, 2003. Penalty and interest would not be waived.

ACTION: Motion by Council member Cook to approve the extension. Robison seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

IHC TAX YEAR 2003 FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION:

Council members Chambers, Cook and Robison were on the committee that met with IHC.

(See Attachment No.1)

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

Council member Chambers noted that this was a yearly mandated meeting with IHC to consider taxable revenue. Auditor Stones explained that even though there were many charitable contributions made every year, this meeting was necessary to decide what was taxable and what was not, due to changes that occur during the year. Council member Gibbons also noted that another reason for the meeting was to followup on concerns with respect to their educational efforts.

ACTION: Motion by Council member Robison to approve the IHC tax exemption request. Chambers seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

THE COUNCIL ADJOURNED FROM THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING UPDATE:

Schedule. Executive Lemon noted that the contractor thinks that the new building will be finished around the 1st of May. Moving of the offices has been planned for the week of May 30th through June 2nd. The move will begin at 5:00 p.m. Friday evening and County Offices will be closed on Monday, June 2nd returning to work on Tuesday, June 3rd. This will be advertised to the public. A Ribbon cutting/Open House was set for June 10th from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Cost to move: There have been several estimates received from moving companies. The bids are around \$24,000.00. This item will be placed on the next agenda for discussion.

Building Committee Meeting. Discussion in the Building Committee meeting led to the possibility of having Newell Daines as the construction manger, of the Historic Courthouse project and having him work with sub-contractors.

JAIL UPDATE:

Sheriff Lynn Nelson submitted a detailed written "Complex Progress Report" for the Council. This report included construction progress, design changes and budget issues and ended in summary of the future contracting of State inmates and an update on staffing.

(See Attachment No. 2)

A Council Tour of the new Jail facility was set for 4:00 p.m. before the next council meeting, April 22, 2003.

RESOLUTION NO. 2003-12: AUTHORIZING EXECUTIVE TO SIGN AN INTER-LOCAL AGREEMENT TO CREATE THE BEAR RIVER HEALTH DEPARTMENT BETWEEN BOX ELDER COUNTY, RICH COUNTY AND CACHE COUNTY.

(See Attachment No. 3)

Executive Lemon: There was an inter-local agreement with the Bear River Health Department that was signed in the 1970's. It was a one-page agreement. This is a process to try to update that agreement. This is the general language but it is not the final language. This is a draft.

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

ACTION: Motion by Vice Chairman Yeates to waive the rules and to adopt Resolution No. 2003-12. Cook seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, 7-0.

RESOLUTION NO. 2003-07: DESIGNATION OF AT-WILL EMPLOYEES

(See Attachment No. 4)

ACTION: Motion by Council member Gibbons to adopt Resolution No. 2003-07. Yeates seconded the motion. (See vote below)

Discussion on Motion:

Council member Yeates: Mr. Chairman, I had a lengthy discussion with Mr. Smith prior to the meeting today. There had been some discussion as to whether we ought to make all of the County employees "At-Will Employees," all those people in these offices. He put forth a pretty convincing argument that we already have a merit system in place in the Sheriff's Office and to do away with that on the other side would create some real personnel headaches and some problems. He doesn't know whether that would be wise or not. So, I am not going to propose that we make all employees "At-Will.Employees" that we just go ahead and follow as the resolution states here.

Council member Gibbons: One of the things that I have felt real good about as I have looked at this and as I listened to John (Nelson)in our last meeting discuss concerns that he had, (I thought) maybe all of these people we are identifying as Department Heads really aren't Department Heads. Maybe we are going to have to look at some consolidation of offices in order to create a valid "Department Head." I think, as an initial step, I am supportive of identifying these positions and going ahead and making the identification as to Department Heads for the purpose of this resolution.

Council member Cook: Do we even have a Director of the Children's Justice Center any more?

Gibbons: Yes.

Attorney Daines: Rebecca Alpisa is the Director of the Children Justice Center.

Cook: She also holds the title in your office as the Victims Advocate. So, we could remove her as Department Head from that but not the other?

Council member Chambers: Is it fair to say, George (Daines), by doing this all we are doing is really coming into line with what virtually all of the counties are doing anyway?

Daines: Not only the counties but basically all governmental units make a certain level of person an employee at will. The issue of what Darrel is focused on and a little bit of what I think Paul is referring to is should every person we have named on this list be in that category? I have some real questions about that myself. I thought John's (Nelson) comments last week were excellent. I talked to Lorene (Greenhalgh)a little bit today about the Zoning Administrator and whether she is really a Department Head and how those people fit into those categories. In a way I think that is the issue we will be working with as we try and figure out a way to run county government. Who really is a department head in terms of what they do (with) the controls they have and who is just being an employee that we have put with the name Department Head. Most of our department heads are line-employees as well as being the department heads. They do the work; they don't just administer the work. I think that was John's (Nelson) comment: "Ninety percent of what I do is as a building inspector and then for no additional pay I do the additional 10% of being an administrator: " "You make me a Department Head as though that is what I spend my time doing when I am mostly a building inspector." I think that is a legitimate point.

Council member Robison: Do other Department Heads in other entities get compensated additionally for being a department head? Is that what you are trying to say that we are not doing?

Daines: As you make people at risk for their employment, you compensate them for that risk by giving them some form of benefit back. We do have an anomaly in Cache County were elected Department Heads are also line employees though. That is they are doing the work of a line employee as well and they have to stand for election every four year but if you are appointed, you never have any oversight. I think your whole government structure needs some careful review of how you have organized it. It has kind of grown like "topsy." I think we need to think through who is a department head. I don't know where to go with that list except I took everyone who Jim (Smith)felt might be considered in that category. I can't think of anyone who isn't on the list who should be, but some of those people who are on the list maybe shouldn't be on it.

Gibbons: Lynn or Tamra, step and grade-wise, do we compensate people we have identified as department heads, differently than we do just the line employees.

Tamra Stones: That is a merit question.

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

Lemon: Yes, we do. We don't do a step in grade but we do compare them to a different position. John,... when we look at him in comparison to market, we look at him differently than we would look at just a Building Inspector.

Gibbons: So, there is some monetary compensation.

Jim Smith: Yes. It is not a-typical to have a Department Head doing supervisory responsibilities and product lines responsibilities. You'll see that throughout any entity basically. In the situation with John, he has anchored in our Wasatch Survey to a Chief Building Inspector which has some automatic functions as a Supervisor to not only deal with budgets but personnel, personnel review policies, programs, etc. So, he is anchored at a different career job than the rest of the guys in his crew.

Daines: Jim, are all Chief Building Inspectors treated as department heads though?

Smith: No, because some of them will have public works' programs and they'll flow underneath that.

Daines: So, it really is a question whether John should be a department head or a sub-administrator essentially?

Smith: It could be debated.

The vote on the motion was unanimous, 7-0 all members in favor.

STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR CACHE COUNTY: MARK TEUSCHER

County-wide Planner, Mark Teuscher, went over the Cache 2010 Strategic Plan with the Council.

(See Attachment No. 5)

Mr. Teuscher: Under each Value area I put the individual goal and I listed the critical strategies directly related to the County:

1. County Wide Planning and Plan

Goal: Achieve a common direction for the future of the County through the uniform acceptance, adoption and successful implementation of the county wide plans and an on going planning process by all public agencies, civic groups and the general citizenry.

1.1 Dev. a County Planning and Development Office and

1.2 Officially adopt the Cache 2010 Plan as the County's General Plan

1.4 Establish an on going Cache 2010 Advisory Committee

2. County Wide Service Delivery

Goal: Provide to all citizens of the County, regardless of their location, efficient and effective essential services as well as those that promote quality of life by consolidating the functions and structure of various public agencies whether they be in the County, cities and towns.

2.1 Establish a Mini Government Review Commission.

2.2 implement inter-local agreements.

3. Funding the Plan

Goal: Generate the funds that are needed to support this plan by aggressively pursuing such avenues as state and federal funding sources, fees associated with development, and the expansion of local revenues.

3.1 Evaluate the revenue potential and practicality of imposing development impact fees throughout the County.

3.2 Identify and make application for all state funding sources for programs and services.

3.3 Development and annually update a financial plan which supports the general plan.

Mr. Teuscher noted the following:

1. No dates were declared because completion times were way beyond the time frame.
2. Out of these values, the County Wide Values probably had most of them accomplished.
3. The Transportation section had a number of goals accomplished.
4. The Educational section had basically only one goal that applied to the County concerning the Library. Most of the other goals were directly related to the School District.

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

5. Under Essential Services there were a lot of goals given to the County. The creation of various Boards was wanted. (Environmental Safety, Solid Waste, Waste-Water Advisory, Environment Advisory)
6. Human services was a little difficult to determine.

Mark Teuscher: There was a good cross-section of things that had been accomplished and things that had not been accomplished. The time frames were gone; they should have been done by 1994 at least 80% of them by 1997. The biggest accomplishment was the creating of the County Wide Planning and Development Office (CWPD) to provide a lot of the coordination.

There had been mixed discussions as to whether the 2010 Plan was to be the General Plan. There were a number of areas where they talked about the County Developing a Comprehensive Plan - That is what the CWPD had done. There were still some things that needed to be accomplished.

Sue Patterson, Mark's Secretary and also Secretary to Bobbie Coray at the time of the plan, had taken a lot of the notes. She related to Mr. Teuscher that each individual committee really operated independently of each other. Some committees did a lot and some didn't. There was not a lot of foresight into costs. There were still a lot of advisory boards to be created that the Cache 2010 Strategic Plan requested. Coordinated effort is not an easy thing to do, it asked for a lot of shared services. It is a good function to take on and look at what has been done and what needs to be done in the County.

There are three distinctly different types of plans:

A **strategic plan** comes from business. It is very short term intended for no more that 5 years in the planning process.

A **general plan** will look specifically at land use, transportation, housing - residential.

A **comprehensive plan** is a much larger plan. It combines all functions: The land-use components of the general plan, the comprehensive planning of essential services.

In the County's Comprehensive plan, we have broken into actually four elements: Land Use, Transportation, Infra-Structure and an Affordable Housing Element. On a general plan and a comprehensive plan, they should probably run no more than 20 years because you run into problems when you start to project and look at your projections and costs. Most general and comprehensive plans are always rewritten in about 10 years. Strategic plans are basically a business type of plan but it also can be directly related to the functions of government in implementing the components of a general plan.

Mr. Teuscher encouraged the Council to get as many of the elements in the Cache 2010 Strategic Plan into the comprehensive plan as it develops but strategic planning would be as good a function to take on and look at where the County is at today and look at what was to be accomplished in the next five years.

The Cache 2010 Strategic Plan will be on for discussion again for possible resolutions in terms of the direction the Council might go. Mr. Teuscher was assigned to identify functions that are being done and the progress that has been made on each.

OTHER BUSINESS:

County Council Meeting
April 8, 2003

COUNTY COUNCIL/LOGAN CITY COUNCIL JOINT MEETING :

A joint meeting with the Logan City Council is scheduled for Tuesday, April 29, 2003 at 5:00 p.m. in the County Council Chamber.

REPRESENTATIVE ROB BISHOP VISIT:

The Council will be meeting with Rob Bishop, Wednesday, April 23, 2003, at 5:00 p.m. in the County Council Chamber.

COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS:

Council member Gibbons: I was approached by members of the High School Rodeo Association with respect to fees that are being charged to use the Fair grounds facility. They wanted me to raise their concerns to you so that the Willow Park Advisory Board could be approached to review that. Their indication is that everything they do there is strictly through volunteers. They do have some minor revenues through gate receipts but it doesn't come close to what they are being charged for the facility. (Vice Chairman Yeates assured him that the Willow Park Advisory Board was aware of this.)

Council member Yeates: Along the same lines as what we have discussed on the Fairgrounds. The Zoo has contracted with some individuals out of the mid-west and they are going to be doing a master plan for the Zoo. They would like to know if you have any particular feelings with the direction you would like the Zoo to go or are you comfortable with the way it is now. They would like to have some input from the Council on that.

Council member Robison: Jim Smith, Tom Hogan and I have been meeting on restructuring the Volunteer Center. If you have any ideas of what you would like see or how that facility should be used, we would appreciate your input.

ADJOURNED:

The Council Meeting adjourned at 6:55 p.m.

ATTEST: Jill N. Zollinger
County Clerk

APPROVAL: H. Craig Petersen
Council Chairman